

**FY 2009**  
**Evaluation Form**  
**PALM BEACH COUNTY THOROUGHFARE BEAUTIFICATION GRANT**  
**RFP for State Thoroughfares**

KPBCB Project Number: # \_\_\_\_\_

Total Point Score:

Project Name / Location: \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Grant Requested: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

KPBCB Recommendation: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed Match: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

KPBCB Recommendation: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Total Project Cost: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**A. Basic Eligibility Requirements Met (Yes or No)**

- \_\_\_\_\_ Project located on state owned and maintained thoroughfare with documented authorization for project by appropriate state representative (FDOT Grant Coordinator).
- \_\_\_\_\_ Authorization letters obtained from other public/private entities affected by the project.
- \_\_\_\_\_ On-going maintenance entity committed.
- \_\_\_\_\_ Conceptual Plans prepared by a Florida registered landscape architect pre-qualified by FDOT
- \_\_\_\_\_ Minimum 50% match provided by applicant/other grants (unless REDI qualified).
- \_\_\_\_\_ Application form, attachments, drawings and documentation complete and legible.
- \_\_\_\_\_ Maximum of 10% for design and post design fees.
- \_\_\_\_\_ Vegetation materials and installation costs combined equal or exceed 25% of all project costs.
- \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant has successfully implemented and maintained project(s) which previously received funding by this grant program.
- \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant has submitted closeout documents for each previously awarded outstanding grant per eligibility requirements.

	Project: Eligible or Not (Yes/No)
	Application: Eligible or Not (Yes/No)

**B. Previous Grant Status (5 Points Maximum, check only one item below)**

- \_\_\_\_\_ (5 Pts.) Applicant has never received a grant through this program.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (4 Pts.) Project is clearly a later phase of a project which has previously received funding through this grant program.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2 Pts.) Applicant has previously successfully implemented and maintained project(s) through this grant program.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (-5 Pts.) Application has not been previously funded by KPBCB, but applicant is proposing to replace healthy, non-invasive and safe (sight lines) plant material.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (Ineligible) Project is a previous KPBCB grant award proposing replacement because of unsuccessful implementation & maintenance.

Total Points

**C. Clarity of Application (15 Points)**

- \_\_\_\_\_ (0-5) Is the application accurate and easily understood?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (0-5) Does the "Summary of Project Costs" form correlate well with the "Supplemental Detailed Budget"?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (0-5) Are the detailed unit costs reasonable by industry standards?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (- 5) Application components do not correlate and cannot be understood.

Total Points

**D. Community Values (10 Points)**

- \_\_\_\_\_ (0-2) Does the project have high potential for a positive public relations impact?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (0-2) Does the project have high potential to generate a sense of community pride and ownership?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (0-4) Is the project anticipated to be a catalyst to inspire further reinvestment in the community?

Total Points

**E. Community Support (15 Points)**

- \_\_\_\_\_ (0-3) Does the project involve documented partnerships with private businesses or volunteer groups to provide in-kind services or cash?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (0-3) Is adequate public support documented?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (0-9) Is the project ready to move forward with committed resources?

Total Points

**F. Environmental Value (15 Points)**

- \_\_\_\_\_ (0-5) Does the project screen undesirable views and buffer traffic noise?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (0-5) Does the project significantly increase canopy cover within the right-of-way?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (0-5) Does the project replace existing inappropriate species such as invasive exotics, or replace existing plantings that don't meet roadway safety standards.  
\_\_\_\_\_ (- 5) Does the project remove healthy plants (excluding those not meeting roadway safety standards or inappropriate species).

Total Points

**G. Cost Sharing (10 Points)**

- \_\_\_\_\_ (0) Is applicant's match greater than 50%, but less than 51%?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (3) Is applicant's match 51% or greater, but less than 55%?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (5) Is applicant's match 55% or greater, but less than 60%?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (7) Is applicant's match 60% or greater, but less than 65%?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (10) Is applicant's match 65% or greater?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (10) Rural Economic Development Initiative (REDI) qualified.

Total Points

